

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,171	09/04/2001	Ming-Dou Ker	0941-0316P-SP	9842	
2292	7590 02/12/2003				
BIRCH STI	EWART KOLASCH & 1	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	7 JRCH, VA 22040-0747	FENTY, JESSE A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner			a 11 -41 - 11			— (h			
## Deficie Action Summary    Examiner   Jesse A. Fenty   2915	1		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	4 /0			
Jesse A Fenty   Jesse A Fent			09/944,171	$\mathcal{M}$					
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILIND DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be a validate under the processors of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In role event, however, may a reply be timely filled  1 this period for empty separation beautified professor of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In role event, however, may a reply be timely filled  1 this period for empty separation beautified professor of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In role event, however, may a reply be timely filled to reply specified above its less than thirty (30) exps. is enjoy within the satisfact may reply the timely filled to reply specified above its less than the professor of the specification to the professor of the specification of the professor of the specification to the specification of the communication of the		Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  • Encentered fine may be available ander the proposents of 2 CPR 1,136(a). In no event, however, may a reply the timely filed  • Encentered fine may be available ander the proposents of 2 CPR 1,136(a). In no event, however, may a reply the timely filed  • If the period for reply sepecified advise, the renarium statistical period and apply with vite alrephe SX (8) MAXI-No from the mailing date of this communication of the period of			i		1				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estatesions of time may be available under the provision of 3 of PR 1 136(a). In an event, however, may a teply be limity filed after SX (6) MCNTTG from the mailing date of hits communication.  In this post of the specification is the chain of the communication.  In this post of the specification is easily as the communication of the communicat			ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	correspondence add	Iress			
1)   Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2002.  2a)	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>								
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ○ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1 85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1 ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17 .2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-149) Paper No(s)		Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 N	Jovember 2002	1					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	-	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-	-final.					
4)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s)  is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a   accepted or b   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on  is/a approved by disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b)  Some c) None of:  1  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of Oratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3    Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Nots)	3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is/a approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. in the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 □ Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 □ Notice of Oratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Nots) □ Other:	Disposit	ion of Claims							
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1 ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s) 1 ⋈ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 □ Notice of Dratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) □ Other:	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application							
6)		4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-26 is/are withdraw	n from conside	eration.					
7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
8	6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•—	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	r election requi	rement.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Beferences Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	9) 🗌 🤄	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	۲.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ accep	ted or b)⊠ obje	cted to by the Exa	miner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) ☐ Other:		Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be h	ield in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) ☐ Other:	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1 Other:		If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office a	action.					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:	a)[	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:		1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been red	ceived.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:		2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been red	ceived in Applicati	on No				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(€	e) (to a provisional	application).			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	, <del></del>								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other: .	,								

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/944,171

Art Unit: 2815

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Species A, claims 1-17 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 18-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.

#### **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the substrate-triggered MOS connected to first and second power of lines as recited in claim 6 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

.

Art Unit: 2815

5. Claim 8 recites the limitation "a second bias voltage" in line 2 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for a "first bias voltage" limitation in the claim.

- 6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - a. The limitation "is coupled to another source/drain of the MOS" is vague and indefinite and appears to lack antecedent basis for "another."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1, 13, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jun et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,406,948 B1).

In re claim 1, Jun discloses an ESD protection circuit with low input capacitance (stacked diodes), suitable for an I/0 pad, comprising a plurality of diodes (Fig. 10), stacked and coupled between a first power line ( $V_{DD}$ ) and the I/O pad, wherein during normal operation, the diodes are reverse-biased, and, when an ESD event occurs between a second power line ( $V_{SS}$ ) and the I/O pad, the diodes are forward-biased to conduct ESD current.

Art Unit: 2815

In re claim 13, Jun discloses the device of claim 1, wherein the diode includes a PN junction diode formed by a PN junction between a source/drain and substrate of a MOS

In re claim 14, Jun discloses the device of claim 13, but does not expressly disclose the gate electrodes connected to power lines. However, one skilled in the art will recognize that gate electrodes being connected to power lines are a necessary condition for any MOS type device to work. That the gate electrodes of Jun, if not specifically mentioned, are connected to power lines is inherent.

In re claims 16 and 17, Jun discloses MOS transistors but does not expressly disclose the conductivity of the transistors. Those skilled in the art will recognize the interchangeability of the two types of devices and will recognize the suitability of selecting either an NMOS or PMOS configuration to be determined by the suitability of the intended use of the claimed device.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jun et al. (as above).

In re claim 2, Jun discloses the device of claim 1, wherein each diode is a PN junction diode formed by placing a doped area of a first conductivity type in a first well (16) of a second

Art Unit: 2815

conductivity type, a deep well (12) of the first conductivity type formed under the first well to isolate the first well from a substrate (10) of the second conductivity type.

Jun does not expressly disclose a doped area a first conductivity type in the first well (16). However, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to insert such a region for the purpose of increasing the threshold voltage of the ESD device.

In re claim 3, Jun discloses the device of claim 2, wherein the first well (16) is surrounded by a second well (12) of the first conductivity type.

In re claim 4, Jun discloses the device of claim 2, wherein the first conductivity type is N type and the second conductivity type is P type.

11. Claims 5-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jun as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Watt (U.S. Patent No. 5,623,156).

In re claim 5, Jun discloses the device of claim 1, but does not expressly disclose an ESD clamp. Watt discloses an ESD clamp circuit (24). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use as ESD clamp circuit as disclosed by Watt for the device of Jun for the purpose, for example, of adding additional ESD protection to the internal circuit.

In re claim 6, Jun in view of Watt discloses the device of claim 5, wherein the power-rail ESD clamp circuit includes a substrate triggered MOS including two source/drains (34, 36) coupled to the first power line and the second power line respectively, the substrate node biased

Art Unit: 2815

with suitable current to trigger a BJT (44<sub>1</sub>) parasitizing in the substrate-triggered MOS, and conducting ESD current when an ESD event occurs.

In re claim 7, Jun in view of Watt discloses the device of claim 6, wherein the substrate-triggered MOS includes a gate applied with a first bias voltage. The limitation, "to keep the substrate ... operations" is a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention. Terms that simply set forth the intended use, a property inherent in or a function, do not differentiate the claimed composition of these elements from those known to prior art.

In re claim 8, as best understood, Jun in view of Watt discloses the device of claim 6, wherein the gate is applied with a bias voltage. The limitation, "to speed up ... occurs" is a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention and without a more defining structural limitation, is not given patentable weight as to the structure of the device.

In re claim 9, Jun in view of Watt discloses the device of claim 6, but does not expressly disclose the substrate-triggered MOS formed in a first well of a first conductivity type surrounded by a second well of the second conductivity type. However, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to for the MOS device in wells of this type because it is well known in the art to place transistor devices in doped well for the purpose, for example, of providing better device isolation as compared to simply forming the devices in the substrate, where they may be susceptible to back surface stray currents and voltages.

In re claim 10, Jun in view of Watt discloses the device of claim 9, wherein the first well is surrounded by a second well of the first conductivity type.

Art Unit: 2815

In re claim 11, Jun in view of Watt discloses the device of claim 5, wherein the power-rail ESD clamp circuit includes an ESD detection circuit to detect the occurrence of the ESD event.

In re claim 12, Jun discloses the device of claim 1, but does not expressly disclose a MOS diode. Watt discloses a MOS diode (20). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize an additional diode in the form of a MOS diode as disclosed by Watt for the purpose, for example, of providing secondary ESD protection (Watt; column 8, lines 30-33).

In re claim 15, as best understood, Jun discloses the device of claim 13, but does not expressly disclose the gate of the MOS couples to the source/drain of the MOS. Watt discloses the configuration of the gate of a MOS being coupled to the source/drain of a MOS. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize an additional diode in the form of a MOS diode as disclosed by Watt for the purpose, for example, of providing secondary ESD protection (Watt; column 8, lines 30-33).

#### Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Song et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,275,089 B1) and Ker (U.S. Patent No. 6,249,410 B1) provides similar devices to the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2815

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesse A. Fenty whose telephone number is 703-308-8137. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9 1st Fri. Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-746-3892 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

JAF JAF February 6, 2003 Jesse A. Fenty Examiner Art Unit 2815

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800